WORKING GROUP ON INTERNET GOVERNANCE

Report Card Template

1. Issue: (The general issue area identified for this cluster)

2. Institution: (Which institution or institutions is/are responsible for governing this issue internationally)

3. Relationship to the Internet:

(a) Direct: what specific questions does the Internet raise for governance of the general issue?

(b) Indirect: how does general governance of the issue affect the Internet?:

4. Governance Mechanism: What mechanisms does the institution use to govern the Internet (Treaty mechanisms? Standard-setting mechanisms? Policy coordination mechanisms? Development assistance mechanisms? Other mechanisms?):

(a) Directly?

(b) Indirectly?

5. Evaluation against WSIS criteria:

5 (a) Process Criteria: To what extent to the institution's Internet-related governance mechanisms meet the following criteria, given what could be reasonably expected in light of the governance mechanism used?

> (i) Multilateral

> (ii) Transparent

> (iii) Democratic

> (iv) Full participation

5 (b) Role and responsibility criteria: To what extent do the institution's Internet-related governance mechanisms enable the different stakeholder groups to fulfill their roles and responsibilities as defined by WSIS? To what extent to the different stakeholder groups have the capacity to fulfill their roles and responsibilities?

> (i) Governments

(ii) Private Sector

(iii) Civil society

(iv) Intergovernmental organizations

(v) Other international organizations

5 (c) Outcome Criteria: How effectively to the institution's Internet-related governance mechanisms contribute to achievement of the following goals?)
    (i) Equitable distribution of resources

    (ii) Access for all

    (iii) Stable and secure functioning

    (iv) Multilingualism

6. Coordination: How effectively is governance of this issue coordinated with governance of other Internet-related issues?

7. Overall assessment: What are the points that most need improvement in order to meet the WSIS criteria?